

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/423,916	02/28/2000	ANDREAS METELSKI	288.999651	7293	
24041	7590 01/16/2002			-	
SIMPSON,	SIMPSON & SNYDE	EXAMINER			
5555 MAIN WILLIAMS	STREET VILLE, NY 14221-540	6	MARSH, STEVEN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3632	<u>-</u>	
				DATE MAILED: 01/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· Office Action Summan		09/423,916	METELSKI ET AL.			
	· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Steven M Marsh	3632			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the (	correspondence address			
THE I - External after - If the If NC - Failurian Any II	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	August 2001 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 14-45 is/are pending in the application	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>14-42,44 and 45</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>43</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers		w pr.			
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	tion No			
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	•					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the second office action for U.S. Application 09/423,916 for a Microscope Stand, Especially for a Surgical Microscope filed by Andreas Metelski et al. on February 28, 2000.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

The terminal disclaimer filed on August 27, 2001 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent 6,162,523 to Metelski has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

The assignment document filed on August 27, 2001 is acceptable as the documentary evidence required by 37 CFR 3.73. If the assignment document is not already recorded with the Patent and Trademark Office, it is suggested that the assignment document be submitted for recording among the Office assignment records. See 37 CFR 3.11 and MPEP § 302.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-42, 44, and 45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/423,916

Art Unit: 3632

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Claims 14-39, 44 and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,609,316 to Tigliev in view of U.S. Patent 3,850,307 to Motoda in further view of U.S. Patent 5,425,068 to Schaefer et al. Tigliev discloses a microscope stand having a plurality of support members (30,34,40). The support members are connected by metallic interfaces that form a stress free connection between the adjacent parts. The stand has either positioning feet or wheels (see column 2, line 47) and elastomeric pads can be provided as a damping layer between either the wheels and the base (see column 2 line 46) or the base and the floor. Tigliev does not specifically disclose tubular support members.

Motoda discloses an article handling apparatus with a parallel linkage having a plurality of support members. One of the support members (36) is tubular and has an internal structure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to have provided a tubular support member on the apparatus taught by Tigliev, as taught by Motoda, for the purpose of allowing an internal structure to be provided in one of the support members.

Schaefer et al. discloses an aluminum extruded profile (14) that is glued, riveted, or rolled to a surrounding, fiber reinforced plastic (12) for the purpose of absorbing anticipated loads. Schaefer et al. does not explicitly state that the purpose of surrounding the aluminum extruded profile with a fiber reinforced plastic is to optimize weight/vibration behavior (instead citing cost), but that is accomplished by the design. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to have used a composite structure tube of aluminum and fiber reinforced

Application/Control Number: 09/423,916

Art Unit: 3632

plastic, as taught by Schaefer et al., instead of the tubular support member taught by Tigliev in view of Motoda, for the purpose of providing a strong, lightweight support member. Claims 19-23 and 25-27 are a matter of engineering preference and are therefore obvious. (also see Schaefer et al, column 2, line 55). The features of Claims 44 and 45 are well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tigliev in view of Motoda, in further view of Schaefer et al, and in further view of U.S. Patent 4,690,960 to Yamauchi et al. Tigliev in view of Motoda, in further view of Schaefer et al. does not disclose a base consisting of two plates rigidly connected around a honeycomb structure. Yamauchi et al. discloses a bed for supporting a microscope (see Column 1, lines 16-25). The bed consists of two plates (72 and 73) fastened around an aluminum honeycomb structure by an adhesive. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention, to have utilized the teaching of Yamauchi et al. and insert a honeycomb structure in the base taught by Tigliev in view of Motoda, in further view of Schaefer et al., for the purpose of providing a lightweight, vibration dampening base.

Claim 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tigliev in view of Motoda, in further view of Schaefer et al, and in further view of U.S. Patent 3,637,233 to Hoppl et al. Tigliev in view of Motoda, in further view of Schaefer et al. does not disclose a positioning means for lowering the feet of the base. Hoppl et al. disclose a microscope stand with a base, which has wheels (41 and 43) and feet (36

Page 5

and 37), as well as a means for simultaneously lowering the feet (54). It would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to

have utilized the base taught by Hoppl et al., with the microscope stand taught by

Tigliev in view of Motoda, in further view of Schaefer et al., for the purpose of providing

a microscope stand with a means for switching between mobile and stationary.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven Marsh whose telephone number is

(703) 305-0098. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from

8:00AM to 4:30 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this

application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is (703) 308-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3597.

Steven Marsh

January 14, 2002